Interview Summary	- <del>09/825,240</del> -	Singh et al.	Singh et al.	
	Joyce Tung	Art Unit 1637		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(1) Joyce Tung	(3)			
(2) Stephen C. Macevicz				
Date of Interview Jul 12, 2002	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes				
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	I. g)□ was not reac	ned. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the genera any other comments:	I nature of what was a	greed to if an agreement was rea	ached, or	
112, second paragraph issues were discussed in SN 09/82				
overcome the rejections. The differences between the inver- present of the capture ligand appears to distinguish the pre-				
		·		
<del></del>			<del></del>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the subs	tance of the interview (if box is	checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the summary o	PEP section 713.04). If OM THIS INTERVIEW (	a reply to the last Office action DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	has F THE	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		examiner's signature, if required	_	

Application No.

Applicant(s)